

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/005,296 | 12/05/2001 | Sebastian John Savory | 537-1060 | 8100 |
| 7590 02/26/2004 Lee, Mann, Smith, McWilliams, Sweeney & Ohlson 209 South LaSalle Street, Suite 410 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | NGUYEN, TU T | |
| Chicago, IL | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2877 | |
| | | | D. TT 14.11 TD 00/0/1000 | |

DATE MAILED: 02/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | 04 | | | | |
|--|--|--|----|--|--|--|--|
| <u>ئە</u> | 10/005,296 | SAVORY, SEBASTIAN JOHN | ٦ | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Tu T. Nguyen | 2877 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a | | | | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | 1(0) 57011 | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frotute, cause the application to become ABANDOI | timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 | December 2003. | | | | | | |
| <i>,</i> — | his action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| ,— | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam | iner. | | | | | | |
| D) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to t | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr | ection is required if the drawing(s) is | objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. Note the attached Office | ce Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei | ian priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | (a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docume | | ation No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bur | eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a I | ist of the certified copies not recei | ved. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summa | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/I | Paper No(s)/Mail 08) 5) Notice of Informa | Date Il Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | ., , | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed on 12/11/2003, with respect to Election/Restriction have been fully considered and are persuasive. The Election/Restriction of claims 1-23 has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of Election/Restriction is made.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I: (claims 1-18) drawn to a PMD compensator which disclosed in the specification, page 7, lines 6-30.

Species II: (claims 19-23) drawn to an apparatus for estimating PMD in an optical component which disclosed in the specification, page 9, lines 19-33.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 3

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tu T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2424. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F 7:30-5:30.

Art Unit: 2877

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G Font can be reached on (571) 272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tu T. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2877

Junguyer

02/17/2004